## Appendix 3: Procedure for dealing with a disclosure from a member of school staff

- Any Member of the school staff (teacher, SNA, ancillary Staff) who receives an allegation, or suspects that a child is being abused or is in danger of being abused, will report his/her concerns immediately to the Designated Liaison Person (DLP), unless the concern relates to the DLP. In that case, the report will be made to the Chairperson of the BoM
- 2. Where a Member of the school staff has a child protection concern regarding the DLP s/he will report directly to the Chairman of the BoM
- 3. The DLP will open a secure file on the case and record carefully the details of the concerns or suspicions. The DLP will ensure that the proper reporting procedures are followed
- 4. Where the member of the school staff is a registered teacher and therefore a mandated person, the teacher will work with the DLP to ensure that the proper reporting procedures are followed
- 5. The DLP and teacher will consider whether there are reasonable grounds for concern and if these meet the thresholds for abuse. If they agree, they make a joint mandated report to Tusla
- 6. If the DLP and teacher are not sure whether the thresholds for abuse have been reached, the DLP will consult Tusla and then follow the advice given. The DLP will clearly explain that s/he is seeking advice and will not give identifying details at this stage to Tusla. The details of this conversation, the advice given and the actions taken on foot of that advice must be carefully recorded by the DLP and reported to the teacher
- 7. Where Tusla recommends that a mandated report be made, the DLP and Teacher will do so without delay
- 8. Where the DLP and teacher are not satisfied that the thresholds for abuse have been reached, the DLP will submit a report as a concern to Tusla, rather than as a mandated report. The teacher does not have to make a separate report
- 9. Where the DLP and Teacher are not sure whether or not the thresholds for abuse have been reached, but have reasonable grounds for concern they will make a joint Report to Tusla
- 10. Where the DLP decides not to report to Tusla, s/he will inform the teacher and will advise him/her that s/he may proceed to seek advice from Tusla and make a report or mandated report. The DLP will give the teacher a clear written statement as to the reasons why s/he did not make a report to Tusla. The DLP will retain this statement in the file and, where the teacher makes a report to Tusla, that report will also be retained in the file

- 11. The DLP will now decide whether or not to inform the family of the child that a report has been made to Tusla concerning their child. While it is good practice to inform the family, the following reasons will mean that the family will not be informed by the DLP:
  - a. If the DLP feels that informing the family would put the child in further danger
  - b. If the DLP feels that informing the family would impede Tusla's risk assessment of the child's situation
  - c. If the DLP feels that informing the family would put school personnel in danger
  - d. The DLP may consult with Tusla if unsure
  - e. The DLP will record in the file details of informing the family or, if they have not been informed, the reasons why and any advice given by Tusla